

# Jon Rappoport's Blog

NoMoreFakeNews.com

## Interview with a Retired Vaccine Researcher by Jon

Rappoport

“[These days,] If I had a child, the last thing I would allow is [my child to be vaccinated].”

by Jon Rappoport

March 13, 2019

*(To join our email list, [click here \(http://j.mp/1HvKCU1\)](http://j.mp/1HvKCU1).)*

Dr. Mark Randall is the pseudonym of a vaccine researcher who worked for many years in the labs of major pharmaceutical houses and the US government’s National Institutes of Health.

Mark retired in the 1990s. He says he was “disgusted with what he discovered about vaccines.”

As you know, since the beginning of **NoMoreFakeNews**, I continue to launch attacks against non-scientific and dangerous assertions about the safety and efficacy of vaccines.

Mark was one of my early sources.

At the time this interview was originally published — **in January 2002**, Mark was a little reluctant to speak out, even under the cover of anonymity. But, with the push to make vaccines mandatory and with penalties like quarantine lurking in the wings (even back then), he decided to break his silence.

Like many of my sources, he developed a conscience about his former work. Mark was well aware of the scope of the medical cartel and its goals of depopulation, mind control, and general debilitation of populations.

---

(Q) Jon Rappoport

(A) Retired Vaccine Researcher (given the pseudonym of “Dr. Mark Randall”)

---

Q: You were once certain that vaccines were the hallmark of good medicine.

A: Yes I was. I helped develop a few vaccines. I won’t say which ones.

Q: Why not?

A: I want to preserve my privacy.

Q: So you think you could have problems if you came out into the open?

A: I believe I could lose my pension.

Q: On what grounds?

A: The grounds don't matter. These people have ways of causing you problems, when you were once part of the Club. I know one or two people who were put under surveillance, who were harassed.

Q: Harassed by whom?

A: The FBI.

Q: Really?

A: Sure. The FBI used other pretexts. And the IRS can come calling too.

Q: So much for free speech.

A: I was "part of the inner circle." If now I began to name names and make specific accusations against researchers, I could be in a world of trouble.

Q: What is at the bottom of these efforts at harassment?

A: Vaccines are the last defense of modern medicine. Vaccines are the ultimate justification for the overall "brilliance" of modern medicine.

Q: Do you believe that people should be allowed to choose whether they should get vaccines?

A: On a political level, yes. On a scientific level, people need information, so that they can choose well. It's one thing to say choice is good. But if the atmosphere is full of lies, how can you choose? Also, if the FDA were run by honorable people, these vaccines would not be granted licenses. They would be investigated to within an inch of their lives.

Q: There are medical historians who state that the overall decline of illnesses was not due to vaccines.

A: I know. For a long time, I ignored their work.

Q: Why?

A: Because I was afraid of what I would find out. I was in the business of developing vaccines. My livelihood depended on continuing that work.

Q: And then?

A: I did my own investigation.

Q: What conclusions did you come to?

A: The decline of disease is due to improved living conditions.

Q: What conditions?

A: Cleaner water. Advanced sewage systems. Nutrition. Fresher food. A decrease in poverty. Germs

may be everywhere, but when you are healthy, you don't contract the diseases as easily.

Q: What did you feel when you completed your own investigation?

A: Despair. I realized I was working a sector based on a collection of lies.

Q: Are some vaccines more dangerous than others?

A: Yes. The DPT shot, for example. The MMR. But some lots of a vaccine are more dangerous than other lots of the same vaccine. As far as I'm concerned, all vaccines are dangerous.

Q: Why?

A: Several reasons. They involve the human immune system in a process that tends to compromise immunity. They can actually cause the disease they are supposed to prevent. They can cause other diseases than the ones they are supposed to prevent.

Q: Why are we quoted statistics which seem to prove that vaccines have been tremendously successful at wiping out diseases?

A: Why? To give the illusion that these vaccines are useful. If a vaccine suppresses visible symptoms of a disease like measles, everyone assumes that the vaccine is a success. But, under the surface, the vaccine can harm the immune system itself. And if it causes other diseases — say, meningitis — that fact is masked, because no one believes that the vaccine can do that. The connection is overlooked.

Q: It is said that the smallpox vaccine wiped out smallpox in England.

A: Yes. But when you study the available statistics, you get another picture.

Q: Which is?

A: There were cities in England where people who were not vaccinated did not get smallpox. There were places where people who were vaccinated experienced smallpox epidemics. And smallpox was already on the decline before the vaccine was introduced.

Q: So you're saying that we have been treated to a false history.

A: Yes. That's exactly what I'm saying. This is a history that has been cooked up to convince people that vaccines are invariably safe and effective.

Q: Now, you worked in labs. Where purity was an issue.

A: The public believes that these labs, these manufacturing facilities are the cleanest places in the world. That is not true. Contamination occurs all the time. You get all sorts of debris introduced into vaccines.

Q: For example, the SV40 monkey virus slips into the polio vaccine.

A: Well yes, that happened. But that's not what I mean. The SV40 got into the polio vaccine because the vaccine was made by using monkey kidneys. But I'm talking about something else. The actual lab conditions. The mistakes. The careless errors. SV40, which was later found in cancer tumors — that was what I would call a structural problem. It was an accepted part of the manufacturing process. If you use monkey kidneys, you open the door to germs which you don't know are in those kidneys.

Q: Okay, but let's ignore that distinction between different types of contaminants for a moment. What contaminants did you find in your many years of work with vaccines?

A: All right. I'll give you some of what I came across, and I'll also give you what colleagues of mine found. Here's a partial list. In the Rimavex measles vaccine, we found various chicken viruses. In polio vaccine, we found acanthamoeba, which is a so-called "brain-eating" amoeba.

Simian cytomegalovirus in polio vaccine. Simian foamy virus in the rotavirus vaccine. Bird-cancer viruses in the MMR vaccine. Various micro-organisms in the anthrax vaccine. I've found potentially dangerous enzyme inhibitors in several vaccines. Duck, dog, and rabbit viruses in the rubella vaccine. Avian leucosis virus in the flu vaccine. Pestivirus in the MMR vaccine.

Q: Let me get this straight. These are all contaminants which don't belong in the vaccines.

A: That's right. And if you try to calculate what damage these contaminants can cause, well, we don't really know, because no testing has been done, or very little testing. It's a game of roulette. You take your chances. Also, most people don't know that some polio vaccines, adenovirus vaccines, rubella and hep A and measles vaccines have been made with aborted human fetal tissue. I have found what I believed were bacterial fragments and poliovirus in these vaccines from time to time — which may have come from that fetal tissue. When you look for contaminants in vaccines, you can come up with material that IS puzzling. You know it shouldn't be there, but you don't know exactly what you've got. I have found what I believed was a very small "fragment" of human hair and also human mucus. I have found what can only be called "foreign protein," which could mean almost anything. It could mean protein from viruses.

Q: Alarm bells are ringing all over the place.

A: How do you think I felt? Remember, this material is going into the bloodstream without passing through some of the ordinary immune defenses.

Q: How were your findings received?

A: Basically, it was, don't worry, this can't be helped. In making vaccines, you use various animals' tissue, and that's where this kind of contamination enters in. Of course, I'm not even mentioning the standard chemicals like formaldehyde, mercury, and aluminum which are purposely put into vaccines.

Q: This information is pretty staggering.

A: Yes. And I'm just mentioning some of the biological contaminants. Who knows how many others there are? Others we don't find because we don't think to look for them. If tissue from, say, a bird is used to make a vaccine, how many possible germs can be in that tissue? We have no idea. We have no idea what they might be, or what effects they could have on humans.

Q: And beyond the purity issue?

A: You are dealing with the basic faulty premise about vaccines. That they intricately stimulate the immune system to create the conditions for immunity from disease. That is the bad premise. It doesn't work that way. A vaccine is supposed to "create" antibodies which, indirectly, offer protection against disease. However, the immune system is much larger and more involved than antibodies and their related "killer cells."

Q: The immune system is?

A: The entire body, really. Plus the mind. It's all immune system, you might say. That is why you can have, in the middle of an epidemic, those individuals who remain healthy.

Q: So the level of general health is important.

A: More than important. Vital.

Q: How are vaccine statistics falsely presented?

A: There are many ways. For example, suppose that 25 people who have received the hepatitis B vaccine come down with hepatitis. Well, hep B is a liver disease. But you can call liver disease many things. You can change the diagnosis. Then, you've concealed the root cause of the problem.

Q: And that happens?

A: All the time. It HAS to happen, if the doctors automatically assume that people who get vaccines DO NOT come down with the diseases they are now supposed to be protected from. And that is exactly what doctors assume. You see, it's circular reasoning. It's a closed system. It admits no fault. No possible fault. If a person who gets a vaccine against hepatitis gets hepatitis, or gets some other disease, the automatic assumption is, this had nothing to do with the disease.

Q: In your years working in the vaccine establishment, how many doctors did you encounter who admitted that vaccines were a problem?

A: None. There were a few who privately questioned what they were doing. But they would never go public, even within their companies.

Q: What was the turning point for you?

A: I had a friend whose baby died after a DPT shot.

Q: Did you investigate?

A: Yes, informally. I found that this baby was completely healthy before the vaccination. There was no reason for his death, except the vaccine. That started my doubts. Of course, I wanted to believe that the baby had gotten a bad shot from a bad lot. But as I looked into this further, I found that was not the case in this instance. I was being drawn into a spiral of doubt that increased over time. I continued to investigate. I found that, contrary to what I thought, vaccines are not tested in a scientific way.

Q: What do you mean?

A: For example, no long-term studies are done on any vaccines. Long-term follow-up is not done in any careful way. Why? Because, again, the assumption is made that vaccines do not cause problems. So why should anyone check? On top of that, a vaccine reaction is defined so that all bad reactions are said to occur very soon after the shot is given. But that does not make sense.

Q: Why doesn't it make sense?

A: Because the vaccine obviously acts in the body for a long period of time after it is given. A reaction can be gradual. Deterioration can be gradual. Neurological problems can develop over time. They do in various conditions, even according to a conventional analysis. So why couldn't that be the case with vaccines? If chemical poisoning can occur gradually, why couldn't that be the case with a vaccine which contains mercury?

Q: And that is what you found?

A: Yes. You are dealing with correlations, most of the time. Correlations are not perfect. But if you get 500 parents whose children have suffered neurological damage during a one-year period after having a vaccine, this should be sufficient to spark off an intense investigation.

Q: Has it been enough?

A: No. Never. This tells you something right away.

Q: Which is?

A: The people doing the investigation are not really interested in looking at the facts. They assume that the vaccines are safe. So, when they do investigate, they invariably come up with exonerations of the vaccines. They say, "This vaccine is safe." But what do they base those judgments on? They base them on definitions and ideas which automatically rule out a condemnation of the vaccine.

Q: There are numerous cases where a vaccine campaign has failed. Where people have come down with the disease against which they were vaccinated.

A: Yes, there are many such instances. And there the evidence is simply ignored. It's discounted. The experts say, if they say anything at all, that this is just an isolated situation, but overall the vaccine has been shown to be safe. But if you add up all the vaccine campaigns where damage and disease have occurred, you realize that these are NOT isolated situations.

Q: Did you ever discuss what we are talking about here with colleagues, when you were still working in the vaccine establishment?

A: Yes I did.

Q: What happened?

A: Several times I was told to keep quiet. It was made clear that I should go back to work and forget my misgivings. On a few occasions, I encountered fear. Colleagues tried to avoid me. They felt they could be labeled with "guilt by association." All in all, though, I behaved myself. I made sure I didn't create problems for myself.

Q: If vaccines actually do harm, why are they given?

A: First of all, there is no "if." They do harm. It becomes a more difficult question to decide whether they do harm in those people who seem to show no harm. Then you are dealing with the kind of research which should be done, but isn't. Researchers should be probing to discover a kind of map, or flow chart, which shows exactly what vaccines do in the body from the moment they enter. This research has not been done. As to why they are given, we could sit here for two days and discuss all the reasons. As you've said many times, at different layers of the system people have their motives. Money, fear of losing a job, the desire to win brownie points, prestige, awards, promotion, misguided

idealism, unthinking habit, and so on. But, at the highest levels of the medical cartel, vaccines are a top priority because they cause a weakening of the immune system. I know that may be hard to accept, but it's true. The medical cartel, at the highest level, is not out to help people, it is out to harm them, to weaken them. To kill them. At one point in my career, I had a long conversation with a man who occupied a high government position in an African nation. He told me that he was well aware of this. He told me that WHO is a front for these depopulation interests. There is an underground, shall we say, in Africa, made up of various officials who are earnestly trying to change the lot of the poor. This network of people knows what is going on. They know that vaccines have been used, and are being used, to destroy their countries, to make them ripe for takeover by globalist powers. I have had the opportunity to speak with several of these people from this network.

Q: Is Thabo Mbeki, the president of South Africa, aware of the situation?

A: I would say he is partially aware. Perhaps he is not utterly convinced, but he is on the way to realizing the whole truth. He already knows that HIV is a hoax. He knows that the AIDS drugs are poisons which destroy the immune system. He also knows that if he speaks out, in any way, about the vaccine issue, he will be branded a lunatic. He has enough trouble after his stand on the AIDS issue.

Q: This network you speak of.

A: It has accumulated a huge amount of information about vaccines. The question is, how is a successful strategy going to be mounted? For these people, that is a difficult issue.

Q: And in the industrialized nations?

A: The medical cartel has a stranglehold, but it is diminishing. Mainly because people have the freedom to question medicines. However, if the choice issue [the right to take or reject any medicine] does not gather steam, these coming mandates about vaccines against bio warfare germs are going to win out. This is an important time.

Q: The furor over the hepatitis B vaccine seems one good avenue.

A: I think so, yes. To say that babies must have the vaccine—and then in the next breath, admitting that a person gets hep B from sexual contacts and shared needles — is a ridiculous juxtaposition. Medical authorities try to cover themselves by saying that 20,000 or so children in the US get hep B every year from “unknown causes,” and that’s why every baby must have the vaccine. I dispute that 20,000 figure and the so-called studies that back it up.

Q: Andrew Wakefield, the British MD who uncovered the link between the MMR vaccine and autism, has just been fired from his job in a London hospital.

A: Yes. Wakefield performed a great service. His correlations between the vaccine and autism are stunning. Perhaps you know that Tony Blair’s wife is involved with alternative health. There is the possibility that their child has not been given the MMR. Blair recently side-stepped the question in press interviews, and made it seem that he was simply objecting to invasive questioning of his “personal and family life.” In any event, I believe his wife has been muzzled. I think, if given the chance, she would at least say she is sympathetic to all the families who have come forward and stated that their children were severely damaged by the MMR.

Q: British reporters should try to get through to her.

A: They have been trying. But I think she has made a deal with her husband to keep quiet, no matter what. She could do a great deal of good if she breaks her promise. I have been told she is under pressure, and not just from her husband. At the level she occupies, MI6 and British health authorities get into the act. It is thought of as a matter of national security.

Q: Well, it is national security, once you understand the medical cartel.

A: It is global security. The cartel operates in every nation. It zealously guards the sanctity of vaccines. Questioning these vaccines is on the same level as a Vatican bishop questioning the sanctity of the sacrament of the Eucharist in the Catholic Church.

Q: I know that a Hollywood celebrity stating publicly that he will not take a vaccine is committing career suicide.

A: Hollywood is linked very powerfully to the medical cartel. There are several reasons, but one of them is simply that an actor who is famous can draw a huge amount of publicity if he says ANYTHING. In 1992, I was present at your demonstration against the FDA in downtown Los Angeles. One or two actors spoke against the FDA. Since that time, you would be hard pressed to find an actor who has spoken out in any way against the medical cartel.

Q: Within the National Institutes of Health, what is the mood, what is the basic frame of mind?

A: People are competing for research monies. The last thing they think about is challenging the status quo. They are already in an intramural war for that money. They don't need more trouble. This is a very insulated system. It depends on the idea that, by and large, modern medicine is very successful on every frontier. To admit systemic problems in any area is to cast doubt on the whole enterprise. You might therefore think that NIH is the last place one should think about holding demonstrations. But just the reverse is true. If five thousand people showed up there demanding an accounting of the actual benefits of that research system, demanding to know what real health benefits have been conferred on the public from the billions of wasted dollars funneled to that facility, something might start. A spark might go off. You might get, with further demonstrations, all sorts of fall-out. Researchers — a few — might start leaking information.

Q: A good idea.

A: People in suits standing as close to the buildings as the police will allow. People in business suits, in jogging suits, mothers and babies. Well-off people. Poor people. All sorts of people.

Q: What about the combined destructive power of a number of vaccines given to babies these days?

A: It is a travesty and a crime. There are no real studies of any depth which have been done on that. Again, the assumption is made that vaccines are safe, and therefore any number of vaccines given together are safe as well. But the truth is, vaccines are not safe. Therefore the potential damage increases when you give many of them in a short time period.

Q: Then we have the fall flu season.

A: Yes. As if only in the autumn do these germs float in to the US from Asia. The public swallows that premise. If it happens in April, it is a bad cold. If it happens in October, it is the flu.

Q: Do you regret having worked all those years in the vaccine field?



A: Yes. But after this interview, I'll regret it a little less. And I work in other ways. I give out information to certain people, when I think they will use it well.

Q: What is one thing you want the public to understand?

A: That the burden of proof in establishing the safety and efficacy of vaccines is on the people who manufacture and license them for public use. Just that. The burden of proof is not on you or me. And for proof you need well-designed long-term studies. You need extensive follow-up. You need to interview mothers and pay attention to what mothers say about their babies and what happens to them after vaccination. You need all these things. The things that are not there.

Q: The things that are not there.

A: Yes.

Q: To avoid any confusion, I'd like you to review, once more, the disease problems that vaccines can cause. Which diseases, how that happens.

A: We are basically talking about two potential harmful outcomes. One, the person gets the disease from the vaccine. He gets the disease which the vaccine is supposed to protect him from. Because, some version of the disease is in the vaccine to begin with. Or two, he doesn't get THAT disease, but at some later time, maybe right away, maybe not, he develops another condition which is caused by the vaccine. That condition could be autism, what's called autism, or it could be some other disease like meningitis. He could become mentally disabled.

Q: Is there any way to compare the relative frequency of these different outcomes?

A: No. Because the follow-up is poor. We can only guess. If you ask, out of a population of a hundred thousand children who get a measles vaccine, how many get the measles, and how many develop other problems from the vaccine, there is a no reliable answer. That is what I'm saying. Vaccines are superstitions. And with superstitions, you don't get facts you can use. You only get stories, most of which are designed to enforce the superstition. But, from many vaccine campaigns, we can piece together a narrative that does reveal some very disturbing things. People have been harmed. The harm is real, and it can be deep and it can mean death. The harm is NOT limited to a few cases, as we have been led to believe. In the US, there are groups of mothers who are testifying about autism and childhood vaccines. They are coming forward and standing up at meetings. They are essentially trying to fill in the gap that has been created by the researchers and doctors who turn their backs on the whole thing.

Q: Let me ask you this. If you took a child in, say, Boston and you raised that child with good nutritious food and he exercised every day and he was loved by his parents, and he didn't get the measles vaccine, what would be his health status compared with the average child in Boston who eats poorly and watches five hours of TV a day and gets the measles vaccine?

A: Of course there are many factors involved, but I would bet on the better health status for the first child. If he gets measles, if he gets it when he is nine, the chances are it will be much lighter than the measles the second child might get. I would bet on the first child every time.

Q: How long did you work with vaccines?

A: A long time. Longer than ten years.

Q: Looking back now, can you recall any good reason to say that vaccines are successful?

A: No, I can't. If I had a child now, the last thing I would allow is vaccination. I would move out of the state if I had to. I would change the family name. I would disappear. With my family. I'm not saying it would come to that. There are ways to sidestep the system with grace, if you know how to act. There are exemptions you can declare, in every state, based on religious and/or philosophic views. But if push came to shove, I would go on the move.

Q: And yet there are children everywhere who do get vaccines and appear to be healthy.

A: The operative word is "appear." What about all the children who can't focus on their studies? What about the children who have tantrums from time to time? What about the children who are not quite in possession of all their mental faculties? I know there are many causes for these things, but vaccines are one cause. I would not take the chance. I see no reason to take the chance. And frankly, I see no reason to allow the government to have the last word. Government medicine is, from my experience, often a contradiction in terms. You get one or the other, but not both.

Q: So we come to the level playing field.

A: Yes. Allow those who want the vaccines to take them. Allow the dissidents to decline to take them. But, as I said earlier, there is no level playing field if the field is strewn with lies. And when babies are involved, you have parents making all the decisions. Those parents need a heavy dose of truth. What about the child I spoke of who died from the DPT shot? What information did his parents act on? I can tell you it was heavily weighted. It was not real information.

Q: Medical PR people, in concert with the press, scare the hell out of parents with dire scenarios about what will happen if their kids don't get shots.

A: They make it seem a crime to refuse the vaccine. They equate it with bad parenting. You fight that with better information. It is always a challenge to buck the authorities. And only you can decide whether to do it. It is every person's responsibility to make up his mind. The medical cartel likes that bet. It is betting that the fear will win.



[\(http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/\)](http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/)

(To read about Jon's mega-collection, *The Matrix Revealed*, [click here](http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/)  
(<http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/>.)

---

Jon Rappoport

The author of three explosive collections, [THE MATRIX REVEALED](http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/) (<http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/>), [EXIT FROM THE MATRIX](http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/exit-from-the-matrix/) (<http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/exit-from-the-matrix/>), and [POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX](http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/power-outside-the-matrix/) (<http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/power-outside-the-matrix/>), Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29<sup>th</sup> District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his **free** NoMoreFakeNews emails **here** (<http://j.mp/1HvKCU1>) or his **free** OutsideTheRealityMachine emails **here** (<http://j.mp/1SfPuzL>).

This entry was posted in [CDC](#), [Corporate Fraud](#), [FDA](#), [Germ Virus Theory](#), [Government Fraud](#), [Medical Fraud](#), [Science Fraud](#), [Vaccine Fraud](#), [Vaccinegate](#).

## 58 comments on “Interview with a Retired Vaccine Researcher”

**Daniel Brett** says:

[March 13, 2019 at 12:46 pm](#)

Pure evil

[Reply](#)

**truth1** says:

[March 13, 2019 at 12:57 pm](#)

The world is pure concentrated Satanic evil, and I'm being nice, too!

[Reply](#)

**Michael Burns** says:

[March 13, 2019 at 5:15 pm](#)

That absurd...and it makes no sense...as if you would know that by its color and taste and sound, what “pure concentrated Satanic evil ” really is...

Everything you speak relates to god or the devil is there another flavour, or is that how you see reality as god fighting the devil on every human endeavour.

And everything that happens controversially on this world 'is', as an evil

...and I'm being nice too!'

[Reply](#)

**truth1** says:

[March 13, 2019 at 5:38 pm](#)

touched of a sore spot, did I? Well, good. Suffer butt hurt if you want. its a free country, (not really) right?

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 13, 2019 at 6:50 pm

No, not in least you useless bot...your language gives you away and I get tire of your singular one mindedness, god and the devil, it speaks to me about your intelligence,,,and really why argue with idiots?

Reply

**Daniel Brett** says:

March 13, 2019 at 10:35 pm

Why go off on a tangent boys? Can anyone really argue that "big pharma" and their deadly concoctions are NOT destructive?! 🧑

Reply

**jmilamdeal** says:

March 13, 2019 at 11:56 pm

There is a line that has been crossed a long time ago on vaccines, GMOs, Big Pharma, & medical groups that know almost nothing about nutrition, but dispense drugs for almost anything. I believe you could say that they are evil, and the bottom line is money, " the love of money is the root of all evil". Some of those involved do not realize it, but they are caught in a web of deceit. You could also add to that group the people involved behind the scenes in government that pull the strings and do things like order the killing JFK, RFK, and others, DEEP STATE! If we knew the half of it we might go live in the country and grow our own food, raise animals the right way for food, and get completely away from society altogether! Yes, there is evil in this world and it is showing its ugly face more and more as time goes on!

**Daniel Brett** says:

March 14, 2019 at 12:44 pm

I can't disagree 🧑

**Tracy Landon** says:

March 14, 2019 at 11:04 am

"Michael Burns" YOUR use of the English language rather gives you away as something other than a human. Bot? Maybe.

Reply

**Fred Donaldson** says:

March 13, 2019 at 1:46 pm

Using foreign manufacturers to save money is probably the first positive step necessary to at least guarantee some purity. With the Internet, governments can (but aren't) posting public results of number, type and location of all infections, excluding colds. Here again, private enterprise and blind desire for more profits has dominated an industry, and a business-guided government has refused to enter the fray as referee and judge.

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 13, 2019 at 5:03 pm

"Mark retired in the 1990s."

Precisely, he retired some twenty to thirty years ago. He will not use his real name, in public discussions, and uses the pseudonym Dr. Mark Randall. Waits until he retires to speak out about this terrible matter that he knew about while working as a vaccine scientist.

Understanding that this interview was published in 2002. I'm sorry but I can't acknowledge any of what is said as fact or any semblance of the truth whatsoever... might Mark be looking for a paid hobby after his retirement; might he be disgruntled in the fact that he has been quietly put out to pasture and now sees an opportunity with the latest outrage online about vaccinations, as a means to a new beginning in his life. With so many past experts coming to the fore ground after retirement to fess up to the arcane knowledge that they as working professionals were up to no good.

" [...] he developed a conscience about his former work."

Ah yes the developed conscience after the fact is a remarkable thing when the retirement packages is fully engaged and, the RRSP's given as company perks dully come of age and are now ripened fully in retirement.

This whole piece comes down to a propaganda of sorts as finalizing the dichotomy that vaccines cause autism, or ...maybe they don't.

The persecuted whistleblower (sic) is a nice touch, sort of puts it over the top in a stereotypical assuage of common sense.

"I did my own investigation."

Might we be privy to the date of that investigation, early career; late career? Some sources for the results?

"What conclusions did you come to?"

Conclusions without facts?

Why at this time should I believe anything that Doctor Mark (sic) has to say, I mean he lied all his life as a vaccine scientist (correct me if I am wrong); took the wages of sin, the progressive perks of income to avoid tax, the grand excursions given to such people by the pharmaceutical companies especially to those who invent "new" vaccine — and now suddenly he comes to Jesus, And I am suppose to say "Ohhhhh...he developed a conscience. He telling the truth now! Its different."

Fuck that, I am more likely to say that Mark is 'active measures' solution to the vaccination debate, and is more than likely a IRA bot, than a legitimate scientist with a legal argument. Anonymity allows for contempt of a subject that is craving for some truth.

"As far as I'm concerned, all vaccines are dangerous."

A grand of extrapolation, with no evidence, just the hearsay of a disgruntled employee of the vaccine industry. Who conveniently come to the fore ground after developing a conscience after retirement and when the pension has kicked in...do we know if Marky's credentials are even legitimate, was he really a vaccine scientist. For all I know he might have been the lab assistant, or janitor. Or maybe your lying?

"And smallpox was already on the decline before the vaccine was introduced."

All here say again from a known liar; he has lied about who he is, he claims he is Dr Mark Randall, it is easily assumed that he lied to his employers. Why would he know anything about smallpox and its decline, why would that information be of any purpose to a vaccine scientist (sic). Wouldn't his research be based in a laboratory condition pertinent to the job at hand — creating new vaccines — splitting atoms..ya know the job at hand. Why would information that defeats that purpose be of any consequence to a lab 'rat', testing lab rats.

“For example, the SV40 monkey virus slips into the polio vaccine.”

The SV40 conspiracy...now that concept has produced many wagon loads of suspiciously smelling mis/disinformation. In fact the truth of the matter is, that what is known is purely conspiracy theory driven misinformation only.

SV40 has provided a model of how eukaryotic DNA is driven to replication and has served as an insight into other viruses. SV40 has not been proven to be cancer causing — what is known is that like other viruses it has the potential to cause cancer. But living in a society such as ours, how many things have that potential. It might be transgressed to assume that much of the physical environment has the potential to cause cancer. There have been no clear studies performed of SV40 and the detecting, and assumption, that SV40 causes cancer has clearly come from very controversial areas of research.

A thirty five year follow-up after its discovery has found no significant increases in cancer because of it...SV40 is dormant and asymptomatic in rhesus monkeys. There is no evidence to suggest that, that dormancy and asymptomatic condition would not be the case in humans as well. In fact there is a lot more evidence to suggest that SV40 is defunct and uselessly awaiting extinction. A remnant of a past encounter of monkey evolution.

Acanthamoeba is the most common, the most prevalent form of protozoa in our environment. As in all conditions it is only dangerous in an immunity compromises situations. Which are rare conditions. It is not a brain eating organism, but the effect on the brain is inflammatory and also rare...

This is disturbing that information is skewed and bent to present a certain image, a certain condition. Acanthamoeba is everywhere; one can take human cells and isolate the acanthamoebas — from such unlikely places as nasal, intestinal, as well as other mammals and even other plants. In reality these eukaryotes serves as vectors for virus. as all single cells can.

“And if you try to calculate what damage these contaminants can cause, well, we don't really know, because no testing has been done, or very little testing.”

Precisely....Meaning, they have served as grounds for all kinds of accusations and there have been contaminants throughout whole human history of medicine. How can one conclude that contaminants are dangerous, when no research has been done....are you sure this guy knows what he is talking about. I would assume that a vaccine scientist would not conclude the worst without investigation.

“Aborted human fetuses”.

Boy you pulled out all stops in this one, monkeys, babies, you need a bucket of kittens in there to finalize the dupe.

“You know it shouldn’t be there, but you don’t know exactly what you’ve got [...]”

““fragment” of human hair”, “human mucus”, “foreign protein,”, “It could mean protein from viruses.”.

“I had a friend whose baby died after a DPT shot.”

I had a friend who was hit by a car after riding a bus...I think buses cause people to be hit by cars.

“The operative word is “appear.” What about all the children who can’t focus on their studies? What about the children who have tantrums from time to time? What about the children who are not quite in possession of all their mental faculties? I know there are many causes for these things, but vaccines are one cause.”

WHAT??????

What a load this whole piece is...in the end it is closer to IRA (Internet Research Agency) disinformation piece that any thing relating to a semblance of truth.

Although I don’t agree with vaccination personally, for my own reasons — I am in no way going to accept this notion built on numerous straw men.

Latest understanding in autism research is pointing to fevers in the womb. That vaccinations as the possible cause of autism, is being contested on many fronts. A clear amount of research by the gunslingers is pointing to the possibility that autism are the first markers of an evolutionary leap, a genetic move forward; a glimmering of a human 2.0.

Most autism is in the spectral range, and when looked at, is a completely different architecture of neural mapping.

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 13, 2019 at 5:40 pm

Must be that time of the month, eh, Mike?

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 13, 2019 at 6:57 pm

I know words keep falling out of your mouth for no particular reason...but I’ll ask.

Do you know that there are women in the room, do you know how disparaging and low resolution that comment is...how cliched and unsophisticately male it makes males look.

And to say such a thing. I’ll bet you haven’t thought about what that means?

Is that the best that you can come up with SFB?

Now piss of and go play with you blocks.

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 14, 2019 at 11:24 am

Well, ya gotta clarify a couple things for me, as I am kind of slow. when you say women, are you including leftist feminists or just real women? There's a difference, there. Quite frankly, they are all overly sensitive and looking for an excuse to be offended so I throw them a bone once in a while. Maybe you wear a dress, too?

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 6:48 pm

"Well, ya gotta clarify a couple things for me, as I am kind of slow." — truth 1

Finally some truth...

Reply

**Sunshine2** says:

March 13, 2019 at 6:19 pm

Michael, you said much better than I what I was thinking about needing something more solid for evidence. I want to be able to send something, anything to all of the people around me arguing, "but polio!" Polio still exists but is renamed. It's just hard to find any sort of research paper or article to spell it out, you know, condensed down to the size of a twatter entry postage stamp for easy digestion. Instead you find bits and pieces which you can use to build a picture, which is what I have done over the years. If I send this massive amount of research to anyone, they'd likely still not believe it, or even have the inclination to read any of it.

Do you know how many times I have been asked to help someone out who has cancer or some other illness? Oh, please can you send me your research or any info to help me or Whoever else? I send it all out and get trite responses back, like "I don't believe it", "If this works why doesn't mainstream medicine use these methods?" And more doubt. I stopped sending anything out, except one link to a good site. I can't help unbrainwash anyone else. They have to do it for themselves.

I find it disturbing that autism is being normalized. I don't know what causes autism. And isn't that the issue? If autism is seen as normal (or evolved even) don't we then stop looking for causes? Who is going to be looking after all these evolved people? AI?

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 14, 2019 at 11:14 am

I run into the same thing. Tell someone how easy it is and they do not believe. They are so sure and so trusting. Total idiots.

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 3:22 pm

We don't question homosexuality, the trans-gendered, and a number of other — differences — might we begin to see autism as a different state of consciousness and being. Rather than a mental disorder.

Now most point to the absurd, the severely afflicted, the ones with tics or melt downs...but I hypothesis and highly suspect that there are many other things going on besides autism in those conditions. It is mainly a theory at present. One direction is mirror neurons, off course there is other research and information that states that that theory is a red herring also...



Most autists, I would say, you would not realize were autistics Sunny. Autism spectrum is about four levels of affliction, but, I would there are many many more... Autists, they are quite capable. and many are extremely brilliant, although many are socially retarded, awkward with social mores, and I would say for the most part that is a common trait in all autists — they still have a quality of life.

BTW, thank you for this response — your consideration and polite reply to my initial post... do you fear AI?

### Reply

**Sunshine2** says:

March 15, 2019 at 1:06 pm

You bring up some good points! Autism is now a spectrum in the DSM. They've lumped everyone in together where there used to be separate diagnoses. The only autistic people I know are on the lower functioning side of the spectrum. They may not have autism, but their conditions are currently labeled as such by the DSM. But is it really a brain problem? Is it nutritional or physical? When trying to find a cause don't we need to look at each person, each case individually, their mind, body and spirit so we don't just stop at a brain label (or something that can never be cured)?

Autists as you describe I agree should be in a different category all together. And since autists are (usually, not always) high functioning individuals do they even belong in that autistic spectrum? Are they so far on the extreme end, close to or even exceeding the margin, can't they just step out of any abnormal diagnoses? I'm not very good in social situations, and I'm pretty sure I would have been diagnosed with ADD when I was a child, had it been defined in the DSM back then. But why would I even want a label. I don't. The DSM has become an all encompassing menu... Let's see...this fits, and this, oh yes, and this. Wait, this one sounds particularly exotic, I think I'll go with that.

Now just about every kind of thought, emotion, characteristic and behavior has a place in the DSM. And there are drugs for most of them I think. Autism isn't the only diagnoses reorganized into a blanket spectrum. There is the antisocial personality disorder spectrum, another very wide range. All of the DSM widening, organizing, categorizations, etc. looks to me like a form of control. Or maybe all of the academic psychologists don't have enough to keep themselves busy with helping people, so they concentrate on expanding and fortifying their empire instead.

What set me off originally is the recent orchestrated effort by MSM and social media to silence anti vaccination information (so no one learns about informed consent), normalize autism (just in case damaging info does come to light and people get ideas about suing) and paint all anti-vaxxers as uneducated conspiracy theorists (it's so much fun feeling superior and who wants to be seen a crazy person?). Are they doubling down protecting the matrix, or have they always been this aggressive but I wasn't paying attention? And/or are they laying the groundwork and readying their canons for passing vaccination laws?

AI? Haven't decided if I'm afraid of it yet. I don't even know what it is.

### Reply

**MachtNichts** says:

March 14, 2019 at 3:49 am

Can you please explain how not being able to fully function in this world, which needs all the boots on the ground and not in the cloud, is going to be an evolutionary leap towards enlightenment?

Have you been reading too much of Kryon and the Indigo Children? Sad, that the New Age Fluffies are even able to convince the best of people. Bin there, done that, changed my mind.

Besides, do you know anyone with an autistic child and what that family has to deal with? Me thinks your autism marker for a leap will end up over the cliff in California hitting some rocks.

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 4:38 pm

I am an autist, troll!...your assumption makes an ass of you. What has Kryon and Indigo children got to do with what I stated...are you paying attention? To what I said...personally since I have lived my life as an autist. I am in more of an understanding of what it is that you are...I have researched it my whole life.

“Besides, do you know anyone with an autistic child and what that family has to deal with?”

How many autists are there? How many do you know? How many autists live their lives unnoticed, undiagnosed...do you know this? What you talk about is a tip of the iceberg, the obvious extremes of autism, whereas I know what lies beneath.

99% of all autism is undiagnosed!

I am talking about legitimate research into what autism is...you are simply knee jerking to a drum beat by Jon Rappoport.

So kindly jump off that California cliff yourself, and hit some rocks

Reply

**NWO Reporter** says:

March 14, 2019 at 9:06 pm

“A clear amount of research by the gunslingers is pointing to the possibility that autism are the first markers of an evolutionary leap, a genetic move forward; a glimmering of a human 2.0.”

Yeah...an evolutionary leap toward adult diapers, head-banging, uncontrollable screaming and learning disabilities, followed by a lifetime of assisted living. Yay for Human 2.0!

The folks here complaining this article does not provide conclusive proof of vaccine dangers are possibly Human Version 1.5. This is a very complex subject. Dozens of hours of study may bring you closer to state of partial understanding of it...if you consult sources that aren't trying to sell you the official vaccine narrative.

All vaccines come with an *\*undisputed\** risk of serious injury and death—that's why we have a Vaccine Injury Compensation Program. The only dispute is about the frequency and scope of the damage.

Given the number of recommended vaccines has tripled since the Vaccine Injury Protection Act was passed in the 1980s, granting widespread immunity from liability to manufacturers, it's hard to imagine any person with a remaining capacity for independent thought wouldn't question it.

Reply

**From Quebec** says:

March 13, 2019 at 6:03 pm

If I had the power, I would execute every one of those corrupted bastards.  
How many people have they hurt or killed in the last decades^

No mercy for those peoples who kept silent because they would loose money or their job . There is no excuse for cowardness

Hang them all.

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 14, 2019 at 11:12 am


Love that righteous indignation. It sounds so good and refreshing. I think you should run for president. But alas, attitudes like that got JFK and RFK done in. the unseen powers hate good men and good actions.

Reply

**From Quebec** says:

March 13, 2019 at 8:35 pm

VaxXed Tour: Brave Dr. Moss in West Virginia



Reply

**Daniel Brett** says:

March 13, 2019 at 10:42 pm



Reply

**Theodore** says:

March 13, 2019 at 11:23 pm

That "Plotkin" video is now an instant classic.

Do have any info on the legal case he was being disposed for?

Reply

**Daniel Brett** says:

March 14, 2019 at 12:57 pm

You mean "deposed" (deposition)

In a nutshell, he was testifying on a case involving a divorced couple who had differing opinions on whether or not their child should be vaccinated.

Reply

**Theodore** says:

March 14, 2019 at 6:39 pm

Correct.

And thanks.

Reply

**Ozzie Thinker** says:

March 13, 2019 at 11:47 pm

Jon

Not read that before, but it was a cracking interview. He correctly implies that vaccines are an extension of Pasteur's flawed "germ theory". He talks about the importance of the immune system and, particularly, "the mind" (has he read my article?). I didn't see anything about AIDS not being "caused" by HIV, but you can't have everything, I guess.

For me, the key questions/answers were these:

"Q: There are medical historians who state that the overall decline of illnesses was not due to vaccines.

A: I know. For a long time, I ignored their work.

Q: Why?

A: Because I was afraid of what I would find out. I was in the business of developing vaccines. My livelihood depended on continuing that work."

How many people will never return to my blogs because I ridicule the core tenets of their belief systems?

<https://ozziethinker.wordpress.com>

<https://exopolitician.wordpress.com>

We live in an age where the "wrong" use of truth may as well be classed as "assault"!!!!

Best

OT

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:03 pm

Perhaps the day will come when we will end up in court with possibly hundreds of counts of assault with a deadly weapon for uttering a harsh truth on the net. Love that brave new world.



Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 3:00 pm



Reply

**honestliberty** says:

March 15, 2019 at 9:49 am

It's because you advocate for pedophilia (as I've exposed you for on this comment section nearly a dozen or more times) and running a close second, your writings resemble the ravings of a severely conflicted madman too sure of himself. Grandiosity and inflated ego. No thanks.

Reply

**honestliberty** says:

March 15, 2019 at 9:50 am

That was intended for Ozzie, in case anyone is confused

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 15, 2019 at 2:39 pm

Are you dog piling...when did you become such an asshole slick

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 15, 2019 at 2:47 pm

He has done no such thing...he writes quite well. I personally like his works, and find them much more entertaining and in fact I have seen your YouTubes...trying to become another cliched rising you tube star. Would you like some commentary on your stage presence, your awkwardness with what you present as your thoughts, shit for brains.

This is surprisingly a stupid comment of yours...

Reply

**honestliberty** says:

March 15, 2019 at 5:54 pm

Dude, my presence sucks. I threw that stuff together quickly without rehearsing or editing, filming on my phone.

I'm aware of it, which is why I've stopped until I can do it right.

Regarding Ozzie, I have taken issue with him nearly a year ago when I used to frequent this site more often. I read some of his work, and it was extremely new age, rambling, eclectic, and poor.

I genuinely tried to appreciate his efforts but it didn't suit me. Esoteric without the actual substance. That's my perception, and I could be misguided.

However, the issue with his that I have is his defense of sexual relations with youths. When I repeatedly requested him to clarify or defend his position, he demeaned me, scoffed, and refused to answer questions- exactly the political deflection of modern media.

Also, what I initiated, poorly, admittedly, on my own you tube is completely irrelevant to my issue with OzzieThinker.

Additionally, I'm choosing to embark on a video platform rather than writing.

But thanks for any criticism. I genuinely know what I've done is garbage, but it's tough moving, finishing a basement, painting a house, refinishing a bathroom, flooring, etc... And still finding time to practice at the range, and then produce any quality product. Which is why I haven't, and I'm likely going to delete most videos because they suck.

No dog piling, i just don't like Ozzie's opinion and because of that, specifically regarding pedophilia, that makes me think of him in a negative light

Reply

**claimyourpowerblog** says:

March 13, 2019 at 11:48 pm

Reblogged this on [ClaimYourPowerBlog](#).

Reply

**maidinamerica** says:

March 14, 2019 at 1:44 am

Aborted babies in the vaccines may lead to chimerism (gender disorders). VaxXed Tour: Marcella on Religious Exemption -YouTube <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RU2BDZL3OFY&t=1s>

Reply

**Coleen Maulfair** says:

March 14, 2019 at 9:02 am

Thank you Jon for all you do to inform people, it is more important than ever. Just heard on Fox measles deaths are up and they had an expert saying every child needs to be vaccinated. He mentioned overseas travel as a reason we have measles creeping up in US but said nothing about all the illegal immigrants pouring in to the US because we have a problem at the border.

The other issue the intense number of vaccinations given to real little kids. Our vet will not vaccinate a dog unless a temperature is normal. Do any pharmacies giving out flu shots check a person's temp before give a vaccination?

This situation is a complete mess and we need to preserve the freedom of families deciding for themselves, something I see losing ground. Coleen Maulfair

Reply

**JB** says:

March 14, 2019 at 9:03 am

Skepticism and hearsay I understand. There's always a lot of hearsay in Jon's essays, along with repeated factual and logical errors from his own public school indoctrination. On a number of occasions I've observed him making the same errors his material is intended to prevent. Jon is not performing an altruistic service. At the core of these essays he's selling his material to make a living.

But should one pay attention to a commenter here who not only cannot spell, cannot form proper English sentences, but is guilty of the same fallacious reasoning he denounces? Such a person's rants do not precipitate clarity to the subject.

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:15 pm

"There's always a lot of hearsay in Jon's essays, along with repeated factual and logical errors from his own public school indoctrination."

Could you quote just 1 or 2 of those "factual and logical errors." Otherwise, you, too, are offering hearsay, at the least and possibly even errors.

Its never been a secret that Jon sells ideas and philosophy. Nothing wrong with that. He also offers a lot solid important information for free, to be bounced around by us to see if they can survive the testing. My gut tells me that you are not being entirely honest or fair. Just a hunch. What is really eating you?

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:56 pm

Can you be more specific...

Reply

**Scott** says:

March 14, 2019 at 10:17 am

My 86-year-old father faithfully got his yearly flu vaccine, which may have contributed to his Alzheimer's. I think there is validity in that assertion, given that whatever is injected into our bloodstream makes its way up to the brain. Some vaccines contain synthetic chemical preservatives that could negatively affect the neurotransmitters.

However, I am convinced that what mostly contributed to my father's arterial blockage, two strokes in 2013, and his Alzheimer's was his taking Lipitor. As I mentioned on my blog (<https://libertyispeace.wordpress.com/2019/02/06/big-pharma-makes-a-killing-on-statin-drugs/>), statin drugs have been shown to deplete vitamin k2 and vitamin k2 is important in preventing calcium maldistribution and calcifications of the arteries.

The vaccines could then have only compounded the problem with my father, in my view. Alzheimer's is a terrible, terrible thing. My 85-year-old mother is dealing with seeing him every day at the nursing home being a zombie and not having any awareness of what's going on. Thanks, Big Pharma.

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:52 pm

You do realize that your father is 86 years old?

Reply

**Scott** says:

March 15, 2019 at 1:47 pm

The past 6 years of his suffering, pain and discomfort, and anguish and misery experienced by my mother could have been avoided.

Reply

**joshdad** says:

March 14, 2019 at 11:00 am

Whether you agree or disagree with the disclosures and the opinions expressed, the bottom line is that the quality of safety studies and vaccine oversight is inexcusably inadequate. And all the cheer leading for each and every vaccine with no regard for the impact on health is nauseating. The vaccine industry lost me years ago. Without solid scientific evidence – vaccinated vs. unvaccinated studies, genuine placebos, independent oversight, mandated vaccine adverse events reporting, and manufacturer liability for injury I'll have no part of vaccines. From my perspective the vaccine paradigm is deeply flawed and dangerous.

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:54 pm

Good point.

Reply

**honestliberty** says:



March 15, 2019 at 6:12 pm

And Michael, I don't see the need to jump on me because I don't like Ozzie. But if you wish, I would enjoy for you to reach out personally. I think you can do so through my WordPress.

Also, I ain't upset or mad that you are critical and called me names. Glad to hear from you again

Reply

**Michael Burns** says:

March 14, 2019 at 2:49 pm

"Must be that time of the month, eh, Mike" .....and fuck you again SFB

"If autism is seen as normal (or evolved even) don't we then stop looking for causes?" .....Humans always need an excuse, they can't bear to not have the answer, sometimes the answer is impossible.

"Who is going to be looking after all these evolved people?" .....I look after myself quite well, now piss off.

"Can you please explain how not being able to fully function in this world, [...] towards enlightenment." .....I function at a higher level than you do; I am more intelligent than you by at least 25 IQ points.

" the love of money is the root of all evil" .....really! I prefer to look at money as fertilizer rather than evil. Keep clinging to life raft — your bible.

I'm a high functioning autistic. It use to be called Aspergers syndrome, now they call it Autism spectrum disorder with four grades of affliction. I have no problems fending off and dealings with all you low resolution assholes, any day of the week. My IQ is 25 points above anybody in here, I was genius college level when I was eleven years old, while you lot who can't see past your own navels, were still trying to tie your shoelaces. My head is in all kinds of illustrious thinkings.

I have thrown away more good ideas than you all have had in your fucked up lives...this lowest common denominator emotional sentiment, or religious affliction that most of you suffer from, this mob mentality and dog piling that most of you address every time you speak, is boring to say the least, and to be most civil. I have respect for very few of you...I wonder how you make it through a day.

I am, an accomplished artist (painter), musician (guitars, percussion instruments), I write furiously and am presently working on a degree in Russian history. And just finishing up a graduate level psychology 500 level on neural bases and memory with a great interest in mirror neurons...I have been interested in doing a paper on autism and the homeless, I have talked to many of them and find some interesting things about autism and the fringes of society.

I have been highly educated, and have not stopped that education in my life. You might say I am a lifelong scholar.

99% of all autistics are undiagnosed, I talk to a number of them, they are like me — they are people like me who quietly say nothing about it, and go about what they are...and go on about their lives, head and shoulders above the rest. Suffering your ignorance and petty emotions. We are in a greater sense a different species of man, a 2.0.

You might take a look at many of the so-called great thinkers and artists of the past. You will find if you study hard that many of them are autistic to some greater or lesser degree, or show numerous artistic tendencies with generally an island of brilliance or a genius level IQ. They are brilliant and are usually they are used...

Rappoport speaks of Andrew Wakefield, a quack of a man who fudged his numbers to make them add up to autism, specifically in the intestinal studies he did in Toronto. He took pre-existing data and changed it to suite his need. Long court cases and what not has lost him his license to practice medicine in the UK. His career is in tatters, thrown away for the allure of ego driven cult status.

He left his wife of some number of decades to "find himself. Really what happened was she learned about his shocking infidelity on the tabloids. And now he, finds himself, selling the four million dollar mansion he built for his wife, right from underneath her feet. And now with a blonde young super model slung across his shoulder. And her enormous wealth — some eighty million dollars that she gold dug from another recent divorce.

The man is a snake oil salesman who saw an opportunity for success using his medical degree to catapult himself into the limelight using the anti-vaxxer movement as his spring-board. He uses you all as dupes..which you are.... Wakefield is involved in shady dealings with "Good Intentions Foundation", which connects people like Wakefield to large and dopey donors of money and grant, those dubious bunch that put their money into any left-wing idea. "Code Pink" is run like this — it is called "limelight activism".

With the growing amount of morbid interest into vaccination, the waters are being muddy by a circus of non believers who are in it for the gain. Fabulous amounts of money surround this subject which has taken on a cultish religiosity like the green movement and the global warming hoax. What might have started as a legitimate concern has devolved into a money game. Andrew Wakefield stands near the top of that money grab. He is a fucking snake...

Wakefield a despicable bastard that has got rich on autism, and autistics...he finds himself touring a lecture circuit, richly monied by left-wing Universities donors, speaking his lies to the perpetually fragile and vehemently socialist creep into that once great and illustrious institution. It has like most things like that, become part of the zero sum game by propagandists who aim to bring down the government of the United States with their socialist demagoguery of people like Wakefield, using it on every social problem of the day.

There is no information available about what autism is, why it happens. Science has become politicized and finds itself neutered by the likes of wakefield.

There are libraries filled with dissertations and research and still... When it is all read and digested, nothing is clear, nothing is conclusive...I have read a great deal of it. Many things point to a direction but quickly become red herring in the search.

Believe what you wish assholes...this post of Jon Rappoport's is close to twenty years old, it is vague, and ambiguous about the subject, it floats around in vapid generalities, it is about anti-vax only, which really has nothing to do with autism at all..

But what do most of you idiots talk about?.....JFK, or money or God and the Devil.

Reply

**truth1** says:

March 15, 2019 at 10:08 am

This is not just to Mike, the only guy that knows anything at all. clearly, some of you are terrified when I bring up Satan. And you ought to be. If you pay attention to Hollywood and its strong influence over the young, you'll note the macabre make up, dress and attitude. The fierce attitude of leftist liberals who despise free speech and the scientific method, or the constant filling of the skies with chem trails, poison by vaccination and not near to forcing vaccinations, which some day will probably have some of Jim Jones' grape coolaid special in it. and by the way, the poeple of Jonestown were killed by the CIA. Even Jim was killed by the CIA. Jonestown was run by the CIA.

Consider the ever dwindling incomes and ever increasing cost that are pushing the middle class into the poor class and soon to be living on the sidewalks. Immigrants imported at our expense, to uses against us now and even more at a later time. Your demise, our demise, everyone's demise is well in the works. And let not for get 5G to be activated at the right time. All of you! Your days are numbered whether you like it or not. No one gives a damn whether you like it or not.

To me, it seems so obvious. But stick your heads in the sand if you want. Plug your ears, cover your eyes, and by all means, go into denial and fantasy land. call this evil what ever you want, but evil it is! Sorry if you can't handle that. I give that evil a name. That's my right. Kiss my red royal @\$ otherwise, for you gutless cowards who ignore everything around you. Your are surrounded and will soon be forces to accept the coming psychopath who will rule the world and all his "alien" buddies.

And if ya don't like satan, he has lots of other names, too. I would say there are at least 3 here so like Satan a lot and they are upset that I dare mention his holy name. Sure. He's a real sweetheart. But if he is not real, then I fail to understand your displeasure over someone that does not exist. But in the minds of many today, he does exist and he is celebrated openly. Maybe that is what some of you are afraid of. And as well, you should be. What ever name you give to it, Its evil in its actions and there police reports and court cases to prove it. Run Forrest, Run. Its time to run now. See Forrest run. Soon you'll all be running and then you remember that foul petulance known as Truth1, the bearer of all bad news, but also of more truth than you can handle.

Reply

**Sunshine2** says:

March 15, 2019 at 1:37 pm

Well dang, Michael. Why didn't you write this to begin with? It puts your earlier posts on this page into context.

Reply

**Mark mcd** says:

March 14, 2019 at 5:07 pm

Was this a 2002 discussion? Nothings changed, except Tony and cherie!

Reply

**Tom** says:

March 14, 2019 at 5:44 pm

My son stopped talking for almost a year after the first MMR vaccine. That was enough for me! The insert that comes with the vaccine states all the dangers, that could happen including death.

Reply

**Theodore** says:

March 14, 2019 at 6:41 pm

So sorry to hear what happened to your son.

I hope he is make a strong recovery.

Reply

**honestliberty** says:

March 15, 2019 at 9:40 am

This particular "interview" immediately rang the alarm bells, and not because I'm not inclined to believe much of it, but because it has the New York Times smell of inauthenticity. Sorry Jon, anonymous sources don't cut it. They just don't, and you exposed that with the whole alien craft horse hockey surrounding that blink 182 chap and his "To the Stars Academy."

I've read a bunch of comments too, Michael hit a few salient points exposing the lab of credibility for a man who waited until retirement but still remains anonymous. Additionally, zero evidence was provided. Zero.

Jon, even if this was from 2002, doesn't matter, these type of articles that provide zero evidence from anonymous sources only harm the credibility of those who want real answers. How can we appear to make as legitimate case when these type of sloppy articles can be torn to shreds so easily, not to mention set off alarm bells immediately from someone who doesn't vaccinate?

Not good

Reply

**jmilamdeal** says:

March 15, 2019 at 2:51 pm

To Honest Billy,

Perhaps you are totally unaware, but there have been a couple of whistle blowers that worked with vaccines that have come forth with similar news about that industry as his article spoke of. The last one I am aware of was just a couple of years ago now, but I do not recall his name. This same type of information is out there, and has been for awhile. And in case you didn't know this, these companies are making millions on vaccines and do not take kindly to people in the know giving out the dark side of their products either. The drug companies, Monsanto, and a few other really large companies do not want their secrets out there. That is one of the main reasons that Facebook, Twitter, Pinterest, and probably a few others have stopped the posting of anything detrimental to vaccines, even Amazon took down the documentary VAXXED recently. Adam Schiff, an idiot left leaner in government, sent a letter to Bezos that more or less threatened him about selling any information that was detrimental to vaccines. Many of the government officials are on the take with the drug companies, and you will never hear any detrimental info against vaccines on the main stream media, they make too much money on advertising! Also when you do some research on heads of the FDA, you will see that most of them, when they stop work at the FDA, they go on to a cushy job with a pharmaceutical company. One good source on vaccines and their troubles is <http://www.mercola.com>, The man running it is a doctor and he has many searchable articles about the dangers of vaccines. Also <http://www.naturalnews.com> has quite a bit of information on the subject. Mike Adams who owns it is a well respected man in the natural healing news area and has been a speaker at a number of the conferences around the country, and his web sight is searchable. The main thing

to consider on the subject is that if someone who works in this industry decides to tell what they know, they are taking their lives in their hands, that is why they may not want to allow their names out.

On a similar vein, I had a board about natural health on Pinterest that had a few articles about vaccine problems, and how to live a healthy life without drugs, and they took it down a few weeks ago. They said they didn't want things on there that went against what the establishment medical system had to say about health practices! Did you know that proper diet and exercise would take most people a long way toward complete health? Also most folks with type 2 diabetes could get rid of it in a couple of months, if they would eat only healthy foods and get the nutritional things in line the way they should be, along with proper nutrition and exercise. That has been proven! Did you also know that medical doctors have only a week or so studying nutrition in medical school, which is why they know almost nothing about it. They learn to operate and dispense drugs in school, and that is most of what they do. Now there have been some that go on and learn more, but the schools get financing from drug companies, so that is why they do not teach about the healing benefits of herbs and nutrition!

Also, in the last couple of years there have been 3 or 4 suspicious deaths of people working on some natural cures that would have hurt the drug companies' money flow, had they been able to finish and get them on the market. And as you may know, the drug companies have no liability and cannot be sued if a child dies from a vaccine, and it can be proven! Many vaccine deaths and serious complications have happened without the folks realizing it was vaccine related. I saw a video last year of a man speaking who worked on the polio vaccine back in the 50's, and he said they knew it wasn't all it was cracked up to be, and there were things in it that may cause some real health problems in years to come, but they were in a hurry and wanted to get it done! I do not trust the drug companies at all in that regard, there is too much information out there against what they are doing, and there has been found a connection between autism and vaccines too, but you will not hear that on the news either! Wake up folks, it is like a lot of other things today, it's all about the money!

### Reply

**honestliberty** says:

March 15, 2019 at 6:09 pm

Hi jm, I'm quite familiar. It was Dr. William Thompson who was recorded but later retracted, regarding MMR and autism in blacks, as well as how Alabama altered reporting with state wide birth certificates to suppress the approximate 350% increase.

Dr. Zimmerman, who's sworn affidavit claim in certain subsets, they absolutely cause autism, was the expert witness on behalf of the CDC in the 2007 Cedillo vs HHR. His testimony was essential in the defeat of the civil action lawsuit, but he claimed the DOJ manipulated his testimony by omitting the party about extreme circumstances.

I'm aware of the 1986 national vaccine injury act. Dr Susan Gerberding, Dr. Paul Offit, Emory University and the CDC revolving door of corruption.

I also researched the history and I detest the idea of tunnel vision scientists thinking that can play God. I'm vehemently anti vaccine, not just because of the obvious fraud, but also because their theory is patently incorrect.

It is my conclusion they mask symptoms. Additionally, I have a daughter due end of May with my wife. We've both spent 100s of hours reading, as well as reading the inserts of the actual vaccines.

I'm also familiar with the original theory of herd immunity, it's unproven theory, and the fact that it was never about vaccine related prevention but natural immunity. I'm aware vaccines don't infer lifelong immunity and that the herd immunity is completely debunked on the basis that almost all adults are not up to date on vaccine schedule, thereby completely destroying the myth of herd immunity.

I'm not sure about Wakefield, but I can say his sample size was egregiously insufficient.

My point is that this type of journalism, as Michael had pointed out, is insufficient. Further, of this was an article written by someone with a different view, Jon would rip them to shreds for not supplying a single source, most especially by an anonymous person. Go read his articles about the NYT covering the alien bullarcky. Hey lambasted them (rightfully so) for having anonymous sources.

[Reply](#)

This site uses Akismet to reduce spam. [Learn how your comment data is processed.](#)